

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

Travis Wilson,

Plaintiff,

v.

Commissioner of Social Security
Administration,

Defendant.

No. CV-23-00008-TUC-JGZ (JR)

**Order Accepting Report &
Recommendation**

On November 2, 2023, Magistrate Judge Jaqueline M. Rateau issued a Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) in which she recommended the Court reverse the Administrative Law Judge’s decision and remand the matter to the Commissioner for further administrative proceedings. (Doc. 23.) The R&R notified the parties they had fourteen (14) days from the date of the R&R to file any objections. No objections have been filed.

If neither party objects to a magistrate judge’s report and recommendation, the District Court is not required to review the magistrate judge’s decision under any specified standard of review. *Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 150 (1985). However, the statute for review of a magistrate judge’s recommendation “does not preclude further review by the district judge, *sua sponte* or at the request of a party, under a *de novo* or any other standard.” *Thomas*, 474 U.S. at 154.

The Court finds the R&R well-reasoned and agrees with Judge Rateau’s conclusion that the Administrative Law Judge’s decision should be reversed and remanded to the

1 Commissioner for further proceedings.


2 Accordingly,

3 **IT IS ORDERED** the Report and Recommendation (Doc. 23) is **ACCEPTED**.

4 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** the Administrative Law Judge's decision is
5 **REVERSED** and **REMANDED** to the Commissioner for further administrative
6 proceedings consistent with this Order and Judge Rateau's Report & Recommendation.

7 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** the Clerk of Court shall docket this Order and close
8 this action.

9 Dated this 12th day of December, 2023.

10
11 
12 _____
13 Jennifer G. Zippo
14 United States District Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28